## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF PUERTO RICO

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff

V.

Jesus M. Vargas-Vargas (13),

Defendant

Criminal No. 19-604 (PAD)

## MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION Re: Amendment 821

The below report and recommendation relates to an initial determination as to the defendant's eligibility for a sentencing reduction promulgated by the United States Sentencing Commission under Part A and Part B, Subpart 1 of Amendment 821 to Policy Statement  $\S$  1B1.10(d).

After careful review of the defendant's presentence report, charging document(s), plea agreement, plea supplement, judgment, and statement of reasons, I recommend that:

## $\boxtimes$ The defendant is <u>not</u> eligible for a sentence reduction based on the following factor(s):

- ☐ A. The guidelines range that applied in the defendant's case was not determined by U.S.S.G. § 4A1.1(d) or defendant's status as a zero-point offender under Chapter 4, Part A.
- $\boxtimes$  B. The defendant does not meet <u>all</u> of conditions specified by § 4C1.1. Specifically, one or more of the following criteria applies:
  - ☐ 1) the defendant has criminal history points from Chapter Four, Part A;
  - ☐ 2) the defendant received an adjustment under U.S.S.G. § 3A1.4 (Terrorism);
  - $\Box$  3) the defendant used violence or credible threats of violence in connection with the offense;

 $\square$  4) the offense resulted in death or serious bodily injury;  $\square$  5) the offense of conviction was a sex offense;  $\square$  6) the defendant personally caused substantial financial hardship;  $\square$  7) the defendant possessed, received, purchased, transported, transferred, sold, or otherwise disposed of a firearm or otherwise dangerous weapon (or induced another participant to do so) in connection with the offense;  $\square$  8) the offense of conviction was covered by U.S.S.G. § 2H1.1 (Offenses Involving Individual Rights); or  $\square$  9) the defendant received an adjustment under § 3A1.1 (Hate Crime Motivation or Vulnerable Victim) or § 3A1.5 (Serious Human Rights Offense).  $\boxtimes$  10) the defendant received an adjustment under § 3B1.1 (Aggravating Role) and/or was engaged in a continuing criminal enterprise, as defined in 21 U.S.C. § 848.  $\square$  C. The application of Amendment 821 Part A and Part B, subpart 1 does not have the effect of lowering the defendant's applicable guideline range. See \$1B1.10(a)(2)(B). $\square$  D. The defendant was originally sentenced to a term of imprisonment that is less than or equal to the minimum of the quideline range as amended by Amendment 821 Part A and Part B, subpart 1, and no exception for substantial assistance applies. See § 1B1.10(b)(2)(A).  $\square$  E. The defendant was sentenced to a statutorily mandated minimum imprisonment term. The defendant did not comply with the safety valve provisions and did not receive a reduction of his or her imprisonment term based on a departure for substantial assistance or a Rule 35 motion subsequent to the original sentence. See § 1B1.10(c).

s/ Bruce J. McGiverin

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE